



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,447		11/17/2003	Edward Roberts	7567/80871	9363
22446	7590	. 07/05/2005	•	EXAM	INER
ICE MILL			BERNHARDT, EMILY B		
ONE AMERICAN SQUARE BOX 82001				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46282				1624	
				DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/714,447	ROBERTS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Emily Bernhardt	1624	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 17 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🔲 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🔲 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons set forth in the attached response. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s), 13. Other: _____. L Bounhaull
Emily Bernhardt

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1624

Application/Control Number: 10/714,447

Art Unit: 1624

The 103 rejection of the previous action remains notwithstanding applicants' remarks made in the after final response. It is not agreed that the passage in Chang (col.6) requires one of R3-R5 to be Me. What it does say is that no more than 2 R groups can be Me. This is consistent with the claims which include a proviso forcing one of R3-R5 to be Me. If applicants' interpretation was correct, then the proviso would not be needed in claim 1 since the same language otherwise appears there that appears in col.6. The proviso was apparently added to exclude any H's on these R groups to avoid prior art. The fact that Chang's compounds have the amide group on the *meta* position of the phenyl ring vs. instant *para* does not lessen the validity of the rejection since Chang was applied as a secondary reference and as such is expected to have some differences over the claimed invention for it otherwise would be a primary reference or an anticipation. Chang is drawn to very similar compounds from the same art area. Additionally, Chang's invention also includes para isomers as set forth in col.20. Applicants' final remarks that instant compounds are selective delta agonists are also not convincing since the compounds of the primary reference are also described to have this selectivity for treating the same uses as herein and as in Chang. The thrust of Chang's invention is to develop highly selective opioid agonists as discussed in

Application/Control Number: 10/714,447

Art Unit: 1624

cols. 17-18 and in the background section and this includes selective delta agonists.

See col.20. Thus in the absence of any superior and unexpected results, the closest

instant compounds to those in the primary reference are presumed to be also

selective delta agonists and thus a patentable distinction is not seen.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Emily Bernhardt whose telephone number is

571-272-0664.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the acting

supervisor for AU 1624, James O. Wilson can be reached at 571-272-0661. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Emily Bernhardt

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624